# Muelleria

39:75-78

Published online in advance of the print edition, 21 December 2020



## Comparison of modern classifications for filmy ferns (Hymenophyllaceae) and a new combination in *Trichomanes* L. for the filmy fern *Macroglena brassii* Croxall, from Queensland, Australia

#### Daniel J. Ohlsen

Royal Botanic Gardens Victoria, Birdwood Avenue, Melbourne, Victoria 3004, Australia; email: daniel.ohlsen@rbg.vic.gov.au

#### Introduction

The filmy ferns (Hymenophyllaceae) are a distinctive group of leptosporangiate ferns distinguished by a thin membranous lamina that is usually one cell thick (or occasionally up to four cells thick in some parts of the lamina) and marginal sori that are protected by an indusium in the form of a cup-shaped or bilabiate involucre (Ebihara et al. 2007). Forty nine species of this family occur in Australia, of which 15 are probably endemic (Green 1994; Bostock & Spokes 1998; Ebihara & Iwatsuki 2007). Two major lineages exist within the Hymenophyllaceae that largely correspond to the two original genera recognised within the family: Hymenophyllum Sm. and Trichomanes L. (Pryer et al. 2001; Hennequin et al. 2003; Ebihara et al. 2004). Numerous other classifications have been proposed that recognise several additional genera (e.g. Copeland 1938, 1947; Morton 1968; Pichi Sermolli 1977; Iwatsuki 1984) and some have been adopted in key treatments of the Australian Hymenophyllaceae. The classification used by Tindale (1963), Croxall (1975) and Andrews (1990) is most similar to the classification proposed by Copeland (1938, 1947), which recognised 16 genera in Australia. It differed from that of Copeland (1938, 1947) by some genera being recognised as subgenera of Hymenophyllum, while Vandenboschia Copel. and Crepidopteris Copel were included in Trichomanes and Reediella Pichi-Sermolli respectively due to concerns that Vandenboschia Copel.

#### Abstract

Contemporary Hymenophyllaceae treatments typically follow one of two classifications that recognise monophyletic genera. One comprises nine genera, while the other recognises two genera, Hymenophyllum Sm. and Trichomanes L. Combinations exist for all Australian species that allow the former classification to be adopted in Australia. However, genera of the former classification tend to be poorly defined morphologically compared to the latter classification. All Australian species have available combinations in either Hymenophyllum or Trichomanes except for one Queensland species originally described as Macroglena brassii Croxall. A new combination in Trichomanes is made here for M. brassii so that the two genus classification of Hymenophyllaceae can be followed in Australia.

*Keywords: Abrodictyum, Trichomanes brassii*, generic limits.

and *Crepidopteris* Copel. were illegitimate, which is indeed the case for *Crepidopteris*. The Flora of Australia treatments by Du Puy & Orchard (1993), Green (1994) and Bostock & Spokes (1998) followed the classification of lwatsuki (1984), which recognised five genera in Australia.

Genera recognised in these morphologically-based classifications have been shown to be non-monophyletic by recent phylogenetic analyses of chloroplast DNA sequence data (Hennequin et al. 2003, 2006, 2010; Ebihara et al. 2004, 2007). A more recent classification proposed by Ebihara et al. (2006) and followed by PPG I (2016) differed from previous classifications by including some species in Hymenophyllum that were once included in or previously thought to be closely related to Trichomanes (e.g. Cardiomanes C.Presl, Microtrichomanes (Mett.) Copel. in part and Pleuromanes C.Presl), and by recircumscription of some genera in the Trichomanes lineage. This made all Hymenophyllaceae monophyletic according to molecular genera phylogenetic analyses (Pryer et al. 2001; Hennequin et al. 2003, 2006, 2010; Ebihara et al. 2004). The names for all Australian Hymenophyllaceae species under this Ebihara et al. (2006) classification are provided by Field (2020).

While some contemporary treatments follow this classification (e.g. Smith et al. 2006; Jiaxi et al. 2013), assigning some species to these genera using morphological features without an underlying knowledge of their phylogenetic placement is virtually impossible (Brownsey & Perrie 2016). This is because some of these genera lack morphological features that are shared by all species or, when they are unified by a common feature, these features are also present in species of other genera (Ebihara et al. 2007; Brownsey & Perrie 2016). The close morphological resemblance that can exist between some of these narrowly circumscribed genera is epitomised by Trichomanes cupressoides Desv. from the tropical islands of the Indian Ocean. Trichomanes cupressoides was shown by phylogenetic analyses of chloroplast *rbcL* to harbour two cryptic species that belonged to separate genera (Abrodictyum C.Presl and Trichomanes s.s.) under the Ebihara et al. (2006) classification (Bauret et al. 2015).

The recent practice adopted by PPG I (2016) of segregating several smaller genera from large

genera that can be both morphologically defined and monophyletic, as with Trichomanes s.l., has been criticised by Christenhusz & Chase (2018). They discuss the impracticality for identification and the nomenclatural instability such splitting creates, and criticise the inconsistencies in generic concepts adopted by PPG I (2016) in retaining some large genera such as Asplenium L., while splitting other similarly large complex genera into several segregates. PPG I (2016) justified recognising these segregate genera because they were monophyletic and they had been previously recognised with available names. However, in the case of the Hymenophyllaceae the limits of pre-existing genera had been altered drastically to retain monophyly within the family and hardly resembled their original concepts. Consequently, Christenhusz & Chase (2018) stated that "PPG I (2016) should not be viewed automatically as the necessary and logical next step in fern classification".

For Hymenophyllaceae, an alternative classification that also recognises monophyletic genera but avoids the issues highlighted by Christenhusz & Chase (2018) is to recognise only Hymenophyllum and Trichomanes, which are slightly altered from their traditional circumscription to correspond to the two major lineages in Hymenophyllaceae. This classification is currently used in New Zealand (Brownsey & Perrie 2016). The main limitation to this classification is that it groups together several older lineages in Trichomanes that have divergence times that are more typically observed in ferns between genera or even families (Schuettpelz & Pryer 2006). However, the genera are much better defined morphologically under this classification, with Trichomanes having densely hairy rhizomes, compared to glabrous or sparsely hairy rhizomes in Hymenophyllum. Some features are also only present in many Trichomanes or Hymenophyllum that instantly allow those species to be placed into one or other genus. These include false-veins and erect rhizomes present in several Trichomanes species but absent in Hymenophyllum (with the exception of New Zealand H. pulcherrimum Colenso that has erect rhizomes) and toothed lamina margins in Hymenophyllum that are absent in Trichomanes (Morton 1968). The author here advocates the use of this two genus classification in Australia in preference to the classification of Ebihara et al. (2006) because of the ease of morphological



Figure 1. Trichomanes brassii plant at Herberton Range, northeast Queensland. Photo: Peter Richardson.

recognition of its genera compared to some of the genera of the latter classification.

Almost all of the Australian Hymenophyllaceae species have combinations in Hymenophyllum and Trichomanes because they were described prior to classifications that recognised several genera in addition to Hymenophyllum and Trichomanes. The one exception is the Queensland endemic Macroglena brassii Croxall (Figure 1). This belongs to a group of Trichomanes species, recognised as Abrodictyum C.Presl by Ebihara et al. (2006), that also includes the Australian species T. caudatum Brack. and T. obscurum Blume (Ebihara et al. 2007). Among Australian species, M. brassii is morphologically most similar to T. obscurum, which also has an erect rhizome and highly dissected fronds. Macroglena brassii can be distinguished from T. obscurum by its linear and bristlelike ultimate segments (Bostock & Spokes 1998). The ultimate segments are also narrow (only one or two rows of cells bordering the axes) and bristle-like in Malesian T. pluma Hook. and New Caledonian T. laetum Bosch. These species are more closely related to other Trichomanes (Abrodictyum) species than they are to M. brassii (Ebihara *et al.* 2007) and can be distinguished by fronds with a 3-dimensional arrangement rather than being primarily planar. A new combination in *Trichomanes* is made here for *M. brassii* so that the two genus classification of Hymenophyllaceae can be followed in Australia.

#### Taxonomy

### Trichomanes brassii (Croxall) D.J.Ohlsen, comb. nov.

Macroglena brassii Croxall, Austral. J. Bot. 23: 543 (1975); Cephalomanes brassii (Croxall) Bostock, Fl. Australia 48: 706 (1998); Abrodictyum brassii (Croxall) Ebihara & K.Iwats., Blumea 51(2): 243 (2006). Type: Mount Finnegan, west slopes, Qld, 6 Sept. 1948, L.J.Brass 20048; holo: BRI AQ0024748 (image!); iso: CANB 184593 (image!), K 001090238 (image!).

#### Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Peter Richardson for use of his photograph of *Trichomanes brassii* in Figure 1. and Patrick Brownsey, Nimal Karunajeewa, Leon Perrie and Val Stajsic for helpful discussions on generic limits in Hymenophyllaceae.

#### References

- Andrews, S.B. (1990). Hymenophyllaceae, in *Ferns of Queensland, a handbook to the ferns and fern allies*, pp. 161–200. Queensland Department of Primary Industries: Brisbane.
- Bauret, L., Grall, A., Senterre, B., Rouhan, G., Hennequin, S., Ebihara, A. & Dubuisson, J.-Y. (2015). New circumscription of *Trichomanes cupressoides* Desvaux (Hymenophyllaceae), an endemic filmy fern from the Seychelles (Indian Ocean), and new insights into the genus *Abrodictyum* C.Presl in the western Indian Ocean. *Phytotaxa* 202: 1–14.
- Bostock, P.D. & Spokes, T.M. (1998). Hymenophyllaceae, in McCarthy, P.M. (ed.), Flora of Australia. Ferns, Gymnosperms and Allied Groups 48, pp. 116–148. ABRS: Canberra/CSIRO Publishing: Collingwood.
- Brownsey, P.J. & Perrie, L.R. (2016). Hymenophyllaceae, in Breitwieser, I., Heenan, P.B. & Wilton, A.D., *Flora of New Zealand–Ferns and Lycophytes. Fascicle 15*. Manaaki Whenua Press: Lincoln.
- Christenhusz, M.J.M. & Chase, M.W. (2018). PPG recognises too many fern genera. *Taxon* **67**: 481–487.
- Copeland, E.B. (1938). Genera Hymenophyllacearum. The Philippine Journal of Science **67**: 1–110.

Copeland, E.B. (1947). Genera Filicum. Waltham: Massachusetts.

- Croxall, J.P. (1975). The Hymenophyllaceae of Queensland. *Australian Journal of Botany* **23**: 509–547.
- Du Puy, D.J. & Orchard, A.E. (1993). Hymenophyllaceae, in George, A.S., Orchard, A.E. & Hewson, H.J. (eds), *Flora of Australia* **50**: 538–540. (Australian Government Publishing Service: Canberra).
- Ebihara, A. & Iwatsuki, K. (2007). The Hymenophyllaceae of the Pacific Area. 1. *Hymenophyllum* subgenus *Hymenophyllum*. *Bulletin of the National Museum of Nature and Science, Series B* **33**: 55–68.
- Ebihara, A., Hennequin, S., Iwatsuki, K., Bostock, P.D., Matsumoto, S., Jaman, R., Dubuisson, J.-Y. & Ito, M. (2004). Polyphyletic origin of *Microtrichomanes* (Prantl) Copel. (Hymenophyllaceae), with a revision of the species assigned to the genus. *Taxon* **53**: 935–948.
- Ebihara, A., Dubuisson, J.-Y., Iwatsuki, K., Hennequin, S. & Ito, M. (2006). A taxonomic revision of Hymenophyllaceae. *Blumea* **51**: 221–280.
- Ebihara, A., Iwatsuki, K., Ito, M., Hennequin, S. & Dubuisson, J.-Y. (2007). A global molecular phylogeny of the fern genus *Trichomanes* (Hymenophyllaceae) with special reference to stem anatomy. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **155**: 1–27.

- Field, A.R. (2020). Classification and typification of Australian lycophytes and ferns based on Pteridophyte Phylogeny Group classification PPG I. *Australian Systematic Botany* **33**: 1–102.
- Green, P.S. (1994). Hymenophyllaceae, in Wilson, A.J.G. (ed.), *Flora of Australia* **49**, pp. 557–561. Australian Government Publishing Service: Canberra.
- Hennequin, S., Ebihara, A., Ito, M., Iwatsuki, K. & Dubuisson, J.-Y. (2003). Molecular systematics of the fern genus *Hymenophyllum s.l.* (Hymenophyllaceae) based on chloroplastic coding and non-coding regions. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **27**: 283–301.
- Hennequin, S., Ebihara, A., Ito, M., Iwatsuki, K. & Dubuisson, J.-Y. (2006). New insights into the phylogeny of the genus *Hymenophyllum s.l.* (Hymenophyllaceae): Revealing the polyphyly of *Mecodium. Systematic Botany* **31**: 271–284.
- Hennequin, S., Ebihara, A., Dubuisson, J.-Y. & Schneider, H. (2010). Chromosome number evolution in *Hymenophyllum* (Hymenophyllaceae), with special reference to the subgenus *Hymenophyllum*. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **55**: 47–59.
- Iwatsuki, K. (1984). Studies in the systematics of filmy ferns VII. A scheme of classification based on the Asiatic species. Acta Phytotaxonomica et Geobotanica 35: 165–179.
- Jiaxi L., Qiaoyan, Z., Ebihara, A. & Iwatsuki, K. (2013). Hymenophyllaceae, in Wu, Z.Y., Raven, P.H. & Hong, D.Y. (eds), *Flora of China*, **2–3** (Pteridophytes), pp. 93–109. Beijing Science Press: Beijing/Missouri Botanical Garden Press: St Louis.
- Morton, C.V. (1968). The genera, subgenera, and sections of the Hymenophyllaceae. *Contributions from the United States National Herbarium* **38**: 153–214.
- Pichi Sermolli, E.G. (1977). Tentamen pteridophytorum genera in taxonomicum ordinem redigendi. *Webbia* **31**: 315–512.
- PPG I (2016). A community-derived classification for extant lycophytes and ferns. *Journal of Systematics and Evolution* **54**: 563–603.
- Pryer, K.M., Smith, A.R., Hunt, J.S. & Dubuisson, J.-Y. (2001). *rbcL* data reveal two monophyletic groups of filmy ferns (Filicopsida: Hymenophyllaceae). *American Journal of Botany* **88**: 1118–1130.
- Schuettpelz, E. & Pryer, K.M. (2006). Reconciling extreme branch length differences: decoupling time and rate through the evolutionary history of filmy ferns. *Systematic Biology* 55: 485–502.
- Smith, A.R., Pryer, K.M., Schuettpelz, E., Korall, P., Schneider, H. & Wolf, P.G. (2006). A classification for extant ferns. *Taxon* 55: 705–731.
- Tindale, M.D. (1963). Hymenophyllaceae, Contributions of the New South Wales National Herbarium, Flora Series 201: 1–49.